

## Red Tape Review Rule Report

### (Due: September 1, 2025)

<b>Department Name:</b>	Homeland Sec. & Emergency Mgt	<b>Date:</b>	9/25/25	<b>Total Rule Count:</b>	31
<b>IAC #:</b>	605	<b>Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):</b>	11	<b>Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:</b>	29C.20A, 29C.20B
<b>Contact Name:</b>	Blake DeRouchey	<b>Email:</b>	<a href="mailto:Blake.derouchey@iowa.gov">Blake.derouchey@iowa.gov</a>	<b>Phone:</b>	515-323-4232

**PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE**

#### What is the intended benefit of the rule?

To provide additional guidelines and policy for the Iowa Individual Assistance Grant Program and Disaster Case Advocacy. These programs provide financial and administrative assistance in recovering from a disaster.

#### Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes, the rules are needed to address many of the necessary rules to the program. The statute by itself is silent in address many of the “what-ifs” that occur as part of the administration of the program. Additionally, the statute gives the department the authority to create rules to further administer the program.

#### What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

None—the rule itself does not generate any additional cost to the public.

#### What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

None –the rule provides the public and the department clarity on how to administer the program.

#### Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes, as there are no additional costs with the rule. If anything, by having these rules in place, there’s less opportunity for fraudulent applications.

#### Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

The Rule does not restrict the public or the private sector, but seeks to implement policies and guidelines around providing the public, who have been impacted by a disaster, funding to help with their recovery.

**Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]**

***PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE***

Some out of date and obsolete language has been removed, restrictive words will be modified to the extent possible. Some rules have been expanded to provide better clarity and implement lessons learned.

**RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):**

None

**RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):**

605.11 1-31

***\*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

#### METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	0
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	272
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	30

**ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?**

This rule repromulgation adds the method for determining annual income. That methodology is not provided for in the statute or in the current version of the rule. This is something that, if desired, could be codified.