Red Tape Review Rule Report

(Due: September 1, 20 25)

| Department | Homeland | Date: | 8/11/2025 | Total Rule | 1 |
|------------|-----------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------|
| Name: | Sec. & | | | Count: | |
| | Emergency | | | | |
| | Mgt | | | | |
| | 605 | Chapter/ | 1 | Iowa Code | 29C, 17A |
| IAC #: | | SubChapter/ | | Section | |
| | | Rule(s): | | Authorizing | |
| | | | | Rule: | |
| Contact | Blake | Email: | Blake.derouchey@iowa.gov | Phone: | 515-323- |
| Name: | DeRouchey | | | | 4232 |

| PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| What is the intended benefit of the rule? | | | | |
| To provide structure and policy to the Department and the role of the director. | | | | |
| Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence. | | | | |
| Yes, the rules of this section provide structure and policy for the department and the director. The organizational rules are required. | | | | |
| What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule? | | | | |
| None | | | | |
| What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule? | | | | |
| None | | | | |
| Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain. | | | | |
| Yes | | | | |
| Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain. | | | | |
| The Rule does not restrict the public or the private sector, but seeks to implement several sections of lowa Code that involve the department and role of the director. Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or un- | | | | |
| Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or un- | | | | |

necessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list

chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

| PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL I | EXPAND AS YOU TYPE |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|

| Some out of date and obsolete language has been removed and the overall chapter has k | peen shortened. | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]): | | | | | |
| None | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if ava | ailahle): | | | | |
| ROLLS I NOT USED TON NE I NOMULEATION (IIST THE HUMBER[5] OF INCIDUCE THE CEXT II AVE | anabiej. | | | | |
| 605-1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| *For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with sugges | ted changes. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Tabal number of miles non-soled | 0 | | | | |
| Total number of rules repealed: Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation | 210 | | | | |
| Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation | 0 | | | | |
| 110posca namber of restrictive terms eminiated after repear and/or re-promulgation | U | | | | |
| ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES? | | | | | |
| No | | | | | |
| | | | | | |