

Red Tape Review Rule Report

(Due: September 1, 2025)

Department Name:	Homeland Sec. & Emergency Mgt	Date:	5/27/2024	Total Rule Count:	12
IAC #:	605	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	14	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	418
Contact Name:	Blake DeRouchey	Email:	<u>Blake.derouchey@iowa.gov</u>	Phone:	515-323-4232

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

In accordance with Iowa Code section 418.7, HSEM, subject to approved by the Flood Mitigation Board, establishes the policies and procedures for the creation and administration of an Iowa Flood Mitigation Program.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Much of the original rule was restated from Code. We are proposing a much abbreviated version focused only on program implementation.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

None—the rule provides processes involved with requests for funding by applicants and review and approval by the Flood Mitigation Board.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

None

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes, Iowa Code 418 is very detailed regarding specific details of the flood mitigation program. It exists to ensure compliance with state and federal law and regulations. We propose an update rule with minimal additional implementation policy because the Code is already very prescriptive.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

The Rule does not restrict the public or the private sector, but seeks to implement policies around existing flood mitigation programs.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

Yes, the existing rule contained significant redundant language that was already in statute. This language has been removed or cross referenced.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

605-14.6 Flood Mitigation Fund
605-14.8 Flood Project Fund
605-14.9 Board Application Review
605-14.11 Flood Project Bonds
605-14.12 Flood Recovery Fund

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

605-14.1 – 605-14.5, 605-14.7, 605-14.10

***For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.**

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	5
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	3,440
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	75

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No

