

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department Name:	Homeland Sec. & Emergency Mgt	Date:	5/27/2024	Total Rule Count:	6
IAC #:	605	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	12	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	17A
Contact Name:	Blake DeRouchey	Email:	<u>Blake.derouchey@iowa.gov</u>	Phone:	515-323-4232

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

To provide additional guidelines for the use of emergency response teams within the state.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes, the rules of this section provide structure and policy for deployments, reimbursements, and organization of teams.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

None—however, the rule does compliment existing Iowa Code 29C.8 regarding reimbursement of emergency response teams during disasters or responding to local events.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

Should the emergency response team be deployed at the request of the director or as part of an emergency proclamation by the Governor, all costs are reimbursed through the executive council. When deployed in this fashion, team members become employees of the state.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes, having organized, trained, emergency response teams to deploy during disasters helps compliment the State's available resources and enhances local response, which can save lives and property at the local level.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

The Rule does not restrict the public or the private sector, but seeks to implement policies around organized emergency response teams for the safety and benefit of all Iowans.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

Some out of date and obsolete language has been removed, restrictive words will be modified to the extent possible, but the rule will remain largely intact.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

None

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

605-12.1 – 605-12.6

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	0
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	145
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	6

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No