Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 20, 25,)

Department	Homeland	Date:	12/3/2024	Total Rule	4
Name:	Sec. &			Count:	
	Emergency				
	Mgt				
	605	Chapter/	15	Iowa Code	29C.17A
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	
				Rule:	
Contact	Blake	Email:	Blake.derouchey@iowa.gov	Phone:	515-323-
Name:	DeRouchey				4232

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

To implement and administer Iowa Code 29C.17A, Iowa's statewide mass notification and emergency messaging system.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes, the rules of this section provide structure and policy for local emergency management commissions, state agencies to register for and send local mass notifications to warn and alert the general public.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

None

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

HSEM is appropriated \$400,000 annually out of the Rebuild Iowa Infrastructure Fund to contract services to provide the statewide platform. County and agency access costs are covered as part of this contract, and citizen registration is free.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. Officials are able to alert and warn their residents in case of emergencies. Currently, the system has 93 counties and 19 state entities as administrators in the system. Without this statewide platform, undoubtedly, these entities would procure their own systems to alert their constituents, at a much higher total cost.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? \Box YES \boxtimes NO If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

The Rule does not restrict the public or the private sector, but seeks to implement policies to administer local alerts and warnings and protect the public.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

The rule is up to date; however, restrictive terms have been removed.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

None

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

605-15.1-15.4

*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.

 METRICS

 Total number of rules repealed:
 0

 Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation
 3

 Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation
 13

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No